

ears

# e Gateway

more

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA VOL. LIX, No. 1

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 1968, TWO PAGES

## B of G talked into talking to students

The Board of Governor will meet with all interested stdents at 4:30 this afternoon in th SUB

A letter from Dr. Waler H. Johns, president of The University of Alberta, to the students inion and to the Students for Democratic and to the Students for Democratic University, states the boar will "outline the philosophy chind university financing, the conderations which go into drawin up a budget, and the reasons thy a tuition fee increase was eemed necessary this year."

Ray Speaker, minister rithout portfolio; and Dr. W. H. S ft and Brian McDonald of the Universities Commission have been insted to attend the meeting. Ray Rierson, minister of education, will ot attend.

The meeting was called by Dr. Johns after the SDU held arrotest rally outside Lister Hall Friday during a B of G meeting.

#### REQUEST REFUSED

Three of the protesters wre invited to attend the B of G teeting by Dr. Johns, but were efused their request for the board o hold an open meeting in order o reconsider the question of uition fees

consider the question of union fees.

The SDU decided to hid the protest rally in view of Education Minister Reierson's statement that U of A students should be potesting to the B of G rather that to the provincial legislature.

Friday's SDU protest at Lister Hall encountered some difficulty when it was prevented fromentering the building.

Lister Complex Co-orinator Lawrie Hignell said joint ouncil refused permission because the SDU were infringing on the rights of the residence students.

"If the rally had not out a hand, and, say, some furniture was broken, the joint council would have been responsible," he said.



RAY REIERSON 'talk to B of G'

## If there's no agreement, students pay the difference

Students will pay higher tuition fees next term because certain groups disagree on how much it costs to run this university.

The Board of Governors, the Universities Commission and the provincial government each have a

vincial government each have a different idea how much per stu-dent the government grant for operating costs should be.

The university says ......\$2,658 The Universities
Commission says
The provincial government says \$2,440
Final difference \$218
Students are being asked to
make up most of this difference.
The trouble started in early
1967, when the university estimated

## Fee raise to remain, but SDU may keep talking: Johns

Dr. Walter H. Johns, president of the University of Alberta, does not foresee any revision of the re-

of the University of Alberta, does not foresee any revision of the recent tuition fee increase.

"I don't see how that could be possible since we have been operating in the new fiscal year since April 1," Dr. Johns said Monday.

Nor did he rule out any further increase within the next three or four years.

"We must see this in the wider context of the whole economy, where inflation is constantly causing higher prices."

In addition, "fees have not kept pace at any time with the costs of operating the university," said Dr. Johns.

But he did not object to the Students for a Democratic University acting on the matter.

"The Universities Act states the 'students' council is the official medium of communication between the students of a university and the board', but it does not "take away or impair the right of any student or group of students to petition any of the governing bodies of the university in respect of any matter'," said Dr. Johns.

Referring to the SDU, which exercised this right Friday, Dr. Johns

said: "I felt the students who pre-sented the brief and spoke pre-sented their views quite satisfac-

Asked whether today's meeting between the students and the B of G would have been called had the SDU not spoken with the B of G Friday, he said: "It is very difficult to say."

According to Dr. Johns, the meeting was arranged when the students' union executive spoke with the B of G after the SDU had left. Three SDU members had spoken to a committee of the whole of the B of G.

"Had students' council at any time asked for such a meeting, they would have received it," said Dr. Johns.

Johns.

Johns.

He had high praise for the students' council.

"Personally, I have high regard for the students' council. I think they have embarked on a fine program of getting the facts—better than in the past."

Dr. Johns also felt the students' union was the most effective means of actually producing change.

"In matters like this, I believe in working through channels," he said.

its '68-'69 budget. Higher staff salaries, maintenance costs and en-rolment meant a big increase in

### REQUEST CUT

costs.

REQUEST CUT

This estimate went to the Universities Commission, a mediating body between Alberta universities and the government. The commission cut the request by \$1.7 million because of drained provincial reserve funds. In January, 1968, it recommended to the province U of A be given an operating grant of \$2,540 per student.

At this time, the Board of Governors warned students it intended to raise fees if more government money was not forthcoming.

The provincial budget was brought down March 1. The grant was \$2,440 per student, \$100 below even the commission request.

A fee hike was officially announced.

PROTEST MARCH

#### PROTEST MARCH

PROTEST MARCH

Following a letter-writing campaign and brief to the Board by the students' union, Students for a Democratic University suggested a mass demonstration. The Students' Union sponsored a march. On a cold and windy March 12 about 3,000 students walked to the legislature to protest the fee hike.

In response to the demonstration and opposition questions, the government executive council reconsidered the operating grant, but did not increase it. It placed responsibility for the hike on the Board of Governors.

sibility for the hike on the Board of Governors.

Between 50 and 100 students demonstrated against the hike at a Board meeting April 5.

At that time, the Board agreed to meet with students, and representatives from the Universities Commission and provincial government today at 4:30 in SUB theatre. It will be an open meeting to discuss university financing.

### The Gateway

member of the canadian university press

editor-in-chief - - - - - rich vivone

administration ... frank horvath makeup editor .. ronald yakimchuk students' union background editor ..... judy samoil elaine verbicky news editor . alex ingram ..... reg moncrieff sdu editor commission editor .... marjorie bell photo editor ..... .. neil driscoll

This is a special issue of The Gateway, published by the students' union of The University of Alberta. The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for all material published herein. Printed by The University of Alberta Printing Services.

PAGE TWO

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 1968

### okay, kiddies let's get down to business

The tension concerning the tuition fee increase at this university has prompted The Gateway to produce this unique,

The fee issue has been distorted, maligned and blown out of proportion until it erupted into the now-infamous pamphlet war between the stundents' council, who are the elected representatives of the students at this university and the Students for a Democratic University group who claim they represent the majority of the students.

The clashes between these two bodies have taken on tones of childishness. The bickering has been petty and at least one collision deteriorated into a name-calling game. If they so wish, the students' council and the SDU can retreat into the back alleys and continue their bickering but it seems to us that both parties are losing sight of the student as an individual and a body

We believe this university, and for that matter this nation, functions best when there is free and open argument on the university's course so that the people may be better informed in making judgments. Free speech strengthens a university and a nation and dissent is more than welcome.

That's why we think that this little war was fine—until it got out of hand. Both sides lost sight of the vital issue which is to present a unified and strong delegation to the bodies who are responsible for raising the fees.

That conduct and the sad contents of the pamphlets prompted The Gateway to get the facts behind the fee issue and present them in an honest and as an intelligent manner as is possible. The student, upon reading the views of the people we selected as most informed on the fee issue, should have a decent appraisal of all sides.

We believe that the once vast gap between the students and the administration is slowly vanishing. No longer are those austere committees looked upon as all-perfect and allknowing and damn well correct in every decision made. The actions initiated by the student groups have brought the administration back to earth and the needs and wishes of the students will be given more consideration the next time fees are considered inadequate.

We believe that squabbles over who started the action on any issue are not relevant. That the student body be united—and thoroughly informed—on all pertinent issues is the essential question.

Already it is too late to halt the escalating fees for 1968-Already it is too late to halt the escalating fees for 1968-69. But it is not too early to start proper and sustained action against a similar move for 1969-70. Make bloody sure the Board of Governors is aware that you do not want increased fees in the next term. You can let them know today at 4:30 p.m. in SUB theatre and at the same time you can find out why the fees had to go up in the first place. why the fees had to go up in the first place.

### Official notice

Applications are now being accepted for the position of Chairman, World University Service Committee. Applicants should reside in Edmonton this summer. Experience on previous WUS committees is desirable but not essential.

Application forms are available at the students' union office, SUB. Deadline is April 15.

Sandra Young, Secretary

## SDU say they did it

Students for a Democratic University claim to have caused the setting up of today's meeting between the Board of Governors and the students' union.

At last Friday's meeting of the B of G, a delegation from the SDU asked that such a meeting be held.

delegation represented The delegation represented 50 to 100 marchers and about 2,800 students who had earlier signed an SDU petition asking for an open board meeting. Before the petitions were circulated, the students' union executive had officially discredited the SDU-sponsored march as being irresponsible.

Since the Friday action, two leaflets have been published concerning the demonstration.

The first was a presentation by the SDU outlining the background of the fee protest, which questioned why the students' council had never attempted to have an open public meeting with the B of G, especially after Labor and Education Minister Reierson's statement that the B of G was responsible for the fee raise. sponsible for the fee raise.

The second leaflet was a statement by Marilyn Pilkington discrediting the SDU action and their leaflet. The students' union leaflet contained a letter from university president Walter H. Johns, addressed to Pilkington and SDU member Carl Jensen, stating; "We (B of G) advise the students' council that representatives of the Board are prepared to attend a Board are prepared to attend a public meeting to be called by the students' council to discuss and explain the problems of financing higher education and budgetary proceedings."

Provost A. A. Ryan said: "Both pamphlets are mixing-up the efforts of a fee increase protest and are political jockeying."

He said the SDU has two goals:

- To pursue action against fee increase;
- To discredit the students' union.

Jensen said: "There are certain points about the whole fee action that need to be stated:

• "The SDU initiated both fee actions. The students' council

very reluctantly took over leadership of the march on the legislature, and only after about 800 students demanded a march to show their concern at the SDU-sponsored rally in SUB theatre.

- "Despite official students' union executive condemnation of the second fee action, more than 2,500 students signed the SDU petition to the B of G.
- "The B of G was willing to have an open meeting to discuss the budgeting at this university with the students and the provincial cabinet."

SDU members say they do not understand why council did not ask for such a meeting much earlier in the year, thereby allowing students to become aware of the situation in time to act as effectively as possible to hold the

Professor Ryan said it is too late to oppose next year's fee increase as the provincial budget has al-ready been accepted and the fee increase is part of that budget.

## SU 'remains concerned

Students' union president Marilyn Pilkington is opposed to demonstration tactics used Friday against the Board of Governors by the Students for a Democratic University.

SDU demonstrated at Friday's Board meeting at Lister Hall in protest of the Board's decision to raise tuition fees next term.

"We feel our dispute is with the provincial government rather than with the Board of Governors," said Miss Pilkington. "It would appear that on the basis of the provincial grant the board had only two alternatives: either to raise tuition fees or lower the quality of teaching and research at this university."

The demonstrating students were led by a small and unrepresentative group of student power advocates who were using the tuition fee dispute to expand their power, she said.

Miss Pilkington charged the SDU with riding the tails of an earlier protest organized by the students'

union.

"The SDU claim they obtained 2,500 signatures on their petition—which is 4,000 less than the students' union petition presented to the provincial government," noted Miss Pilkington.

"Of the SDU's 2,500 names, many were collected under false pretences because students thought they were signing students' union petitions," she charged.

"As a matter of fact, several of the petitions were given to me by students who thought they were students' union-sponsored. This confusion arose because

the SDU refused to identify their petitions, though they were asked to repeatedly," she added.

Miss Pilkington claimed the group lifted clauses from the students' union petition, then added the clause "we demand". "This is an example of the tactics they use," she said.

The meeting today in SUB theatre will be "an informative one", Miss Pilkington said. The Board of Governors requested the meeting in response to the protest Friday.

The protest led by the SDU Friday resulted not in lowering of fees, but in the Board of Governors realizing students do not understand the reasoning behind the increase, she said.

Miss Pilkington opposed the SDU action "because any confrontation now will merely damage our chances of obtaining concrete improvements" in the fee system.

fee system.

The students' union is considering a number of possibilities to offset the effect on students of the fee increase. One is a detailed study of the Student Assistance Act now being undertaken.

"We are talking with Mr. C. G. Merkely, chairman of the Students Assistance Board, about improving the financial assistance available," said Miss Pilking-

the financial assistance ton.

"We are in the process of negotiating student representation to the Board of Governors. There is also to be a meeting in June with the Universities Commission and the Minister of Education to discuss changes in the University Act," she said.

"The students' union remains concerned."

# Gov't money-and control

"The fee raise is an unfortunate thing, but necessary to maintain the present standard of the university," said Brian McDonald of the Universities Commission in an interview Monday.

"Look at it this way; the govern-ment is buying a product. As a buyer, it has the right to say how much it will spend," he said.

It is up to the Universities Commission to estimate the cost per student per year, then the Board of Governors decides from the margin what the fees for the year will be "The students don't want a lower quality of university, and a fee raise is the only alternative," he said. "If the number of students accepted each year was decreased it would not aid the situation; the government donates a statutory fee per student, so reducing the number of students would reduce the government grant."

He said 'free tuition' was a mis-representative term. Citing the University of California situation, he said 'free tuition' students are charged \$200 in incidental fees.

In answer to the suggestion that ne one per cent of the GNP

allotted to education should be inceased to four per cent he said, "Money should not be given to the cause of education just for the sake of education. Also, the marginal product of a dollar becomes less as more money is made available."

If the government paid more for education than it is already paying, it would want more control over the product in which it is investing. He said, "What the SDU really wants is an extension of the public school system. I wonder what they would say if the government agreed to pay all cost at the expense of student representation."